## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

NEIL	B. STAFFORD,	)
	Plaintiff,	) ) Civil No. 04-3027-CO
	v.	)
FRED	BARBARO, et al.,	) ORDER )
	Defendants.	

Magistrate Judge John P. Cooney filed Findings and Recommendation on May 17, 2005, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a <u>de novo</u> determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), <u>cert</u>. <u>denied</u>, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given <u>de novo</u> review of Magistrate Judge Cooney's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Cooney's Findings and Recommendation filed May 17, 2005, in its entirety. Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this \_5# day of

2005.